# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# DISTRICT OF MASSACHUS 11718 PBS

KINUE ROSARIO, Plaintiff		$\frac{1}{2}$	RECEIPT #
v.	MAGISTRATE JUD	GE_DOU	SUMMONS ISSUED 125
SECURITAS SECURI USA INC., d/b/a PINK Defendant	TY SERVICES	) ) ) )	MAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED BY DPTY. CLK. FUN DATE 8 9 04
		)	

#### COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

## **PARTIES**

- 1. The Plaintiff, Kinue Rosario, is a resident of Brockton, County of Plymouth, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. The Defendant, Securitas Security Services USA Inc., d/b/a Pinkerton, (the "Defendant") is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a usual place of business in Quincy, Norfolk County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. The Plaintiff was hired as a security guard by the Defendants in or about October 2001, and assigned to work at Brockton Hospital, on the 11-7 shift, where she was subject to the supervision of one Jimmy Giraldo.
- 4. In or about November of 2001, and on and ongoing and continuous basis thereafter, Giraldo made unwanted sexual advances and offensive comments of a sexual

nature toward the Plaintiff, which had the effect of unreasonably interfering with her employment.

- 5. Giraldo retaliated against the Plaintiff for rebuffing his sexual overtures. by *inter alia*, assigning her to undesirable work assignments for which she was not trained; switching her hours of work; and making her perform tasks outside her job description.
- In or about January 2002, the Plaintiff reported Giraldo's misconduct to 6. the Joseph Scagline, the Defendant Pinkerton's Human Resources Manager.
- During this meeting, Scagline told the Plaintiff he would investigate the 7. allegations of sexual harassment against Giraldo, and ordered the Plaintiff reinstated to the shift from which Giraldo had transferred her.
- By letter dated January 16, 2002, Scagline, however, informed the 8. Plaintiff that there "was no evidence to substantiate her allegations" of sexual harassment against Giraldo.
- 9. Subsequent to her reassignment to her original shift, Giraldo and another employee continued to harass and intimidate the Plaintiff, in retaliation for her complaints, and gave her unfavorable work assignments, with the intent to force her to quit.
- 10. In or about February 2002, the Defendant was informed the Plaintiff was pregnant.
- 11. The Defendant notified the Plaintiff that she would no longer be permitted to work at Brockton Hospital because she was pregnant, and thus could not perform the essential functions of her job, and offered her reassignment to Lakeville, Massachusetts.

Filed 08/04/2004

- 12. The Plaintiff warned the Defendant that this reassignment might not be suitable to her because Lakeville was geographically distant from her home, and because she was concerned about pregnancy related illness.
- The Plaintiff reported to work on February 17, 2002, but determined that 13. the commute was too difficult for her, and informed Scagline of this fact.
- The Defendant did not offer the Plaintiff suitable continued employment. 14. and therefore caused her termination from employment.

### JURISDICTION

- 15. The Plaintiff has satisfied all prerequisites to suit under G. L. c. 151B and Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- 16. Jurisdiction is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, federal question, and Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

#### COUNT I

# Sexual Harassment - Violation of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e

- By the acts described above, and by the actions of its agents, the 17. Defendant subjected the Plaintiff on an ongoing and continuous basis to a sexually hostile work environment, which unreasonably and substantially interfered with the Plaintiff's employment, and which a reasonable woman would not tolcrate, in violation of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- The sexually hostile work environment was created by the acts of the 18. Giraldo in making unwelcome sexual advances and pervasive remarks of a sexual nature toward the Plaintiff; by the fact that agents of the Defendant were aware of Giraldo's sexual harassment of Plaintiff but allowed, condoned, and encouraged it; by the

Defendant's failure to address the Plaintiff's complaints about Giraldo's conduct; by the Defendant's hostile reaction to the Plaintiff's complaints; by the Defendants' hostile and retaliatory treatment of the Plaintiff following her complaints of sexual harassment; and by the Defendants' condoning of sexually hostile treatment and stereotypical attitudes by the male employees toward and female employees in general.

- 19. The Defendant's conduct adversely affected the Plaintiff generally and in the terms and conditions of her employment, and would have adversely affected any reasonable woman.
- 20. By creating, condoning, and perpetuating a sexually hostile work environment, the Defendant has intentionally and with reckless indifference and disregard violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- 21. As a result of the acts complained of herein, the Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the loss of income, benefits and other compensation, medical expenses, and the Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses, and the Plaintiff has further suffered severe emotional and distress.

#### COUNT II

#### Sexual Harassment - Violation of G.L. c. 151B

- 22. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all previous allegations made herein.
- 23. By the acts described above, and by the actions of its agents, the

  Defendant subjected the Plaintiff on an ongoing and continuous basis to a sexually hostile
  work environment, which unreasonably and substantially interfered with the Plaintiff's

employment, and which a reasonable woman would not tolerate, in violation G.L. c. 151B.

- 24. The sexually hostile work environment was created by the acts of the Giraldo in making unwelcome sexual advances and pervasive remarks of a sexual nature toward the Plaintiff; by the fact that agents of the Defendant were aware of Giraldo's sexual harassment of Plaintiff but allowed, condoned, and encouraged it; by the Defendant's failure to address the Plaintiff's complaints about Giraldo's conduct; by the Defendant's hostile reaction to the Plaintiff's complaints; by the Defendants' hostile and retaliatory treatment of the Plaintiff following her complaints of sexual harassment; and by the Defendants' condoning of sexually hostile treatment and stereotypical attitudes by the male employees toward and female employees in general.
- 25. The Defendant's conduct adversely affected the Plaintiff generally and in the terms and conditions of her employment, and would have adversely affected any reasonable woman.
- 26. By creating, condoning, and perpetuating a sexually hostile work environment, the Defendant has intentionally and with reckless indifference and disregard violated G.L. c. 151B.
- 27. As a result of the acts complained of herein, the Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the loss of income, benefits and other compensation, medical expenses, and the Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses and the Plaintiff has further suffered severe emotional and distress.

Filed 08/04/2004

### COUNT III-

#### Retaliation-Title VII

- The Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all previous allegations 28. made herein.
- 29. By complaining to Pinkerton that she had been sexually harassed and had been given discriminatory assignments, the Plaintiff engaged in activities protected by Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- By the adverse actions described above, including but not limited to the 30. ongoing harassment to which she was subjected generally; her assignments to less favorable positions, and the reassignment to a job which the Defendants knew would cause her termination from employment, the Defendant, by the acts of its agents, retaliated against the Plaintiff for engaging in activity protected by Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- 31. As a result of the acts complained of herein, the Plaintiff has suffered harm, injury and damages, including but not limited to the loss of income, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses, and the Plaintiff has further suffered severe emotional and distress.

#### COUNT IV-

#### Retaliation – G.L. c. 151B

32. The Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all previous allegations made herein.

Filed 08/04

2004

- By complaining to Pinkerton that she had been sexually harassed and had 33. been given discriminatory assignments, the Plaintiff engaged in activities protected by G.L. c. 151B.
- 34. By the adverse actions described above, including but not limited to the ongoing harassment to which she was subjected generally; her assignments to less favorable positions, and the reassignment to a job which the Defendants knew would cause her termination from employment, the Defendant, by the acts of its agents, retaliated against the Plaintiff for engaging in activity protected by G.L c. 151B.
- As a result of the acts complained of herein, the Plaintiff has suffered 35. harm, injury and damages, including but not limited to the loss of income, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses, and the Plaintiff has further suffered severe emotional and distress.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests that the Court enter a judgment:

- Awarding her back pay, front pay, damages for emotional distress, (a) compensatory, liquidated, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, as provided by G.L. c. 151B, 42 U.S.C. 2000e;
- enjoining and permanently restraining the Defendant's violation 42 U.S.C. (b) § 2000e, and G.L. c. 151B;
- directing the Defendants to take such affirmative steps as are necessary to (c) ensure that the effects of these unlawful employment practices are eliminated;

(d) granting such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper.

#### JURY DEMAND

# THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS SO TRIABLE.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PLAINTIFF

By her attorney,

Daniel W. Rice

GLYNN, LANDRY,

HARRINGTON & RICE, LLP

10 Forbes Road

Braintree, MA 02184

BBO # 559269

(781) 849-8479

Dated: July 29, 2004

%JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

L-(a) PLAINTIFFS	KINUE ROSARIO			Pekendáni		ECURITY SERVICES
(b) County of Residence of (EXC	First Listed Plaintiff  CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF		DETRI <u>ERIC</u> T (	NOTE: IN LANG	NC., d/b/a PINKER  oc of First Listed  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	S ONLY)
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone	Number)	.,	Attorneys (If Kno	own)	× 2000
DANJEL W. RICE, HARI			)	ł	_ 1	8
BRAINTREE, MA 02184					17 1	, —
II. BASIS OF JURISD		ip () pe Box Only)	III. CIT	ZENSHIP OF P	RINKIPA PARTIES	Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defondant)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Governo	ent Not a Party)	Citize	$\cap \mathcal{M}_{-}$	pk.F 1 □ 1 Incorporated or of Business In	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz in Item III)	enship of Parvica	Citize	n of Another State 🛚		d Principal Place ☐ 5 🔯5 n Another State
and MARTINE OF CHIE		na Boy (Only)		n or Subject of a 🔲 eism Country	3 🔲 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		RTS	FORE	EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance   120 Marins   130 Miller Act   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment   55 Recovery of Defauled Student Loans (Excl. Vetemns)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   150 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   195 Contract Product Liability   195 Contract Product Liability   150 Miller Product Liabili		PERSONAL INJU  162 Personal lajury- Med. Malyrecti  365 Personal Injury- Product Liability  1368 Asbeston Person Injury Product Liability  FERSONAL PROPE  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Leading 180 Other Personal Property Damag  185 Property Damag  Product Liability	60 62 63 64 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	n Agriculture  10 Other Food & Drug  10 Other Food & Drug  15 Drug Related Science  of Property 21 USC  10 Liquor Lawa  10 R.R. & Truck  10 Airline Rege.  10 Compational  Safety/Health  10 Other  LABOR  10 Fair Labor Swadards  Act  211 Lahor/M gmt. Relations	428 Appen) 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawai 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Fatent   840 Trad emark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 H [A (13 95ff)   863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g))   863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g))	400 State Roap portionment   410 Antizust   430 Banks and Banking   430 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.   460 Deportation   470 Recks teer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   510 Selective Service   330 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   675 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   393 Environmental Maders   394 Ecarpy Albertian Act
REAL PROPERTY  240 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rout Lease: & Ejectment 240 Toris to Land 244 Ton Product Liability 320 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommedations 444 Welfare 444 Welfare 444 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETIT  510 Matians to Vac Sentonce Haheas Corpus: 530 General 533 Death Pounity 540 Mandamus & Colorid Rights	als D 7	30 Labor/M gmt.Reparting & Discionate Act 46 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Laigation 01 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAXSUITS    870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defends in)   871 IRS -Third Party	□ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appest of Fee Determinational Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 890 Other Statutory Actions
v. origin (PLA)	CE AN "X" IN ONE BO	🗆 355 Prison Condito	ол	Trans	26 USC 7609  Forred from cr district	Appeal to District Judge from
		Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reins Reop	stated or 🗆 5 (speci-		rict 🗆 / Magistrate
VI. CAUSE OF ACT		tute underwhich you are nel statutes unless d'iversit		bicf statement of cause."		
SEXUAL HAI	RASSMENT, TIT	LE VII, 42 U.S	S.C. SEC	. 2000e		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C	S IS A CLASS ACTI P. 23	ON DA	MAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: ; X(Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See BE(S) instructions):	JUDG E			DOCKET NUMBER	
БАТЕ		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY O	FRECORD	<del></del>	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					·	
RECEIPT #	AMOUN	APPLYING IFF		JUDGE	MAG, JU	DOE

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

					ASLIBERURIT
TITLE OF	FCASE	(NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY)_	ROSARIO	V. MNKERTON	USA EM
				13.75	a lola P
		/HICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE I	NUMBÉRED NATU	RE OF SUIT CODE LIST	EDON THE GIVE ASS
	L	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURI	E OF SUIT.		
_	H.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-86	710, 720, 730, 34, 895, 950.	*Also complete AO 12 for patent, trademark	
<u>x</u>	10.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 360, 355, 360, 362, 365 380, 385, 460, 891.			
_	ìV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630 690, 810, 861-885, 870, 871, 875, 900.	, 640, 650, 660,		
_	v.	150, 152, 153.			
HAS BEI	EN FILEC	BER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL DIN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITL CTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BAS	E AND NUMBER (	OF THE FIRST FILED CA	SE IN THIS COURT.
CODICT			YES	::::::: <b>\\\\\\\\\\</b>	
		PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTIT ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)	UTIONALITY OF A	NACT OF CONGRESS A	AFFECTING THE
IF SO. IS	S THE U.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE O	YES F THE U.S. A PAR	::: <b>NO</b> ::	•
IF 80, 18	S THE U.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE O			
	CASE R	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE O	F THE U.S. A PAR' YES	TY?	URSUANT TOTITLE
IS THIS	CASE R		F THE U.S. A PAR' YES	TY?	URSUANT TO TITLE
IS THIS 28 USC  DO ALL COMMO	CASE R §2284? OF THE		F THE U.S. APAR YES A DISTRICT COUR YES	IY? NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO DIES OF THE UNITED ST	ATES AND THE
IS THIS 28 USC	CASE R §2284? OF THE	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY. PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A"	F THE U.S. APAR YES A DISTRICT COUR YES	IY? NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO DIES OF THE UNITED ST	ATES AND THE
IS THIS 28 USC	CASE R §2284? OF THE DIWEAL	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY.  PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A" ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	F THE U.S. APAR' YES A DISTRICT COUR YES RIMMENTAL AGENT GENCIES"), RESI	NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO DIES OF THE UNITED ST DING IN MASSACHUSET	ATES AND THE TE RESIDE IN THE
IS THIS 28 USC  DO ALL COMMO	CASE R §2284? OF THE	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A" ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE EASTERN DIVISION. CENTR	F THE U.S. APAR' YES A DISTRICT COUR YES RIMMENTAL AGENT GENCIES"), RESI	NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO DIES OF THE UNITED ST DING IN MASSACHUSET	ATES AND THE TS RESIDE IN THE
IS THIS 28 USC	CASE R §2284? OF THE DIWEAL	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY.  PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER THE OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A"? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	F THE U.S. APAR' YES A DISTRICT COUR YES RIMMENTAL AGENT GENCIES"), RESII YES NON-GOVERNMEI AL DIVISION Y OF THE PLAINT	NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO CIES OF THE UNITED ST DING IN MASSACHUSET NO NTAL PARTIES RESIDE? WESTERN !	TATES AND THE TS RESIDE IN THE DIVISION
IS THIS 28 USC  DO ALL COMMO	CASE R §2284? OF THE NWEAL NVISION	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A"? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE EASTERN DIVISION CENTRE OF THE FOR IN WHICH DIVISION DO THE MAJORIT GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, RESIDING IN ITE	F THE U.S. APAR' YES A DISTRICT COUR YES RIMMENTAL AGENT GENCIES"), RESII YES NON-GOVERNMEI AL DIVISION Y OF THE PLAINT	NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO CIES OF THE UNITED ST DING IN MASSACHUSET NO NTAL PARTIES RESIDE? WESTERN !	TATES AND THE TS RESIDE IN THE DIVISION STIES, EXCLUDING
IS THIS 28 USC  DO ALL COMMO SAME D	CASE R §2284? OF THE NWEAL NVISION A. B.	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A"? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE EASTERN DIVISION OF THE MAJORITH GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, RESIDING IN EASTERN DIVISION CENTRESTERN DIVISION CENTREST	F THE U.S. APAR' YES A DISTRICT COUR YES RIMENTAL AGENC GENCIES"), RESI YES NON-GOVERNMEI AL DIVISION Y OF THE PLAINT MASSACHUSETTS	NO T OF THREE JUDGES P NO CIES OF THE UNITED ST DING IN MASSACHUSET NO NTAL PARTIES RESIDE? WESTERN !	TATES AND THE TS RESIDE IN THE DIVISION STIES, EXCLUDING
IS THIS 28 USC  DO ALL COMMO SAME D	CASE R §2284? OF THE NWEAL NVISION A. B.	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVER TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL A"? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).  IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE EASTERN DIVISION DO THE MAJORIT GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, RESIDING IN EASTERN DIVISION CENTR	F THE U.S. APAR' YES A DISTRICT COUR YES RIMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESII YES NON-GOVERNMEI AL DIVISION Y OF THE PLAINT MASSACHUSETTS	NO T OF THREE JUDGES P  NO CIES OF THE UNITED ST DING IN MASSACHUSET  NO NTAL PARTES RESIDE? WESTERN RESIDE? WESTERN	TATES AND THE TS RESIDE IN THE DIVISION STIES, EXCLUDING